
Fondamenti di Teoria delle Basi di Dati

Riccardo Torlone

Parte 3: Tecniche di dimostrazione

Dimostrazioni formali e informali

- **Dimostrazione (formale)**: procedimento per cui, in un certo **contesto**, un enunciato (la **tesi**) viene derivato in maniera formale a partire da alcune **ipotesi** e da un insieme di nozioni accettate per evidenza (gli **assiomi**) mediante un insieme di regole formali (**derivazioni logiche**) che permettono di generare un enunciato a partire da altri enunciati

$$P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n \wedge A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_m \rightarrow Q$$

- In pratica si usano **dimostrazioni informali**: descrizioni discorsive dei passi principali della dimostrazione nelle quali si omettono i dettagli

Esempio

- **Theorem** *Let x and y be two integers. If x is even and y is even then xy is also even.*
- **Proof.** Let x and y be two even integers. We can take n and m such that $x = 2n$ and $y = 2m$. Then, $xy = 2(2nm)$. Since $2nm$ is an integer, xy is an even number. \square

Anche l'enunciato è informale

- Let x and y be two integers. [Il contesto]
- Assume x and y are even. [Le ipotesi: $P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n$]
- Then, xy is even. [La tesi : Q]

Dettagli omessi nella dimostrazione

1. Let x and y be two even integers.
 - Implicit universal quantification
2. We can take n and m such that $x = 2n$ and $y = 2m$.
 - Implicit use of the axiom: x is even if and only if there exists n such that $x = 2n$
 - Implicit universal quantification for x and y
 - Implicit existential quantification for n and m
3. Then, $xy = 2(2nm)$
 - Implicit use of rules of arithmetic and of logical implication
4. Since $2nm$ is an integer, xy is an even number :
 - Implicit universal quantification of the definition of an even number
 - Implicit use of logical implication

Regole

- The goal of a proof is to be read by humans (in particular yourself) in order to convince those humans
- A reader will be convinced by the proof if he/she can check that he could translate each step of the proof into one or several steps of a formal proof
- A reader will be convinced by the proof if he/she can check that all the steps are valid

Tecniche di dimostrazione

- **Disproof by counter example**
 - Conjecture. If n is a positive integer, then $n! < n^3$
- **Direct proof**
- (1) Assume P (2) Deduce Q
 - Example. If x and y are even, then xy is even.
- **Exhaustive proof**
- All cases have been exhausted (and so are you ...).
 - Example. Propositional logic formula (the truth table is finite)

Tecniche di dimostrazione

■ Proof by contradiction

- (1) Assume $\neg Q$ (the consequent is false) (2) Deduce a contradiction

- This technique relies on the fact that :
 - (1) if $P \rightarrow \text{False}$, then P is false
 - (2) $Q \wedge \neg Q$ is always false

■ Proof by contraposition

- (1) Assume $\neg Q$ (the consequent is false) (2) Prove $\neg P$ (the antecedent is also false)

- Example. Prove that if n^2 is odd, then n is odd: prove instead that if n is even, then n^2 is even
- This technique relies on the fact that $P \rightarrow Q$ is equivalent to $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$

Tecniche di dimostrazione

■ Proof by induction

- Based on the first principle of mathematical induction
- Let $P(k)$ be an inductive hypothesis. If :
 - (a) $P(1)$ is true (base case)
 - (b) For any $k > 1$, $P(k - 1)$ true implies $P(k)$ true (induction step)
- Then : $P(k)$ is true for all $k \geq 1$

■ Example: Let $S(k)=S(k-1)+2^{k-1}$ ($S(1) = 1$), then $S(k) = 2^k - 1$.

■ Proof

- Base case : $S(1) = 1 = 2^1 - 1$. So, $P(1)$ is true.
- Induction step : Assume that, for $k > 1$, $S(k-1) = 2^{k-1} - 1$
Then, $S(k) = S(k-1) + 2^{k-1} = 2^{k-1} - 1 + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1$.
- So, $P(k)$ is also true.

■ Others

- proof by reduction

Tecniche di dimostrazione non valide

- **Proof by example**
 - The author gives only the case $n = 2$ and suggests that it contains most of the ideas of the general proof.
- **Proof by intimidation**
 - "Trivial"
- **Proof by vigorous handwaving**
 - Works well in a classroom or seminar setting.
- **Proof by cumbersome notation**
 - Best done with access to at least four alphabets and special symbols.
- **Proof by exhaustion**
 - An issue or two of a journal devoted to your proof is useful.

Tecniche di dimostrazione non valide

- **Proof by omission**
 - "The reader may easily supply the details.", "The other 253 cases are analogous."
- **Proof by obfuscation**
 - A long plotless sequence of true and/or meaningless syntactically related statements.
- **Proof by eminent authority**
 - "I saw Karp in the elevator and he said it was probably NP-complete."
- **Proof by personal communication**
 - "Eight-dimensional colored cycle stripping is NP-complete [Karp, personal communication]."
- **Proof by reduction to the wrong problem**
 - "To see that infinite-dimensional colored cycle stripping is decidable, we reduce it to the halting problem."

Tecniche di dimostrazione non valide

- **Proof by reference to inaccessible literature**
 - The author cites a simple corollary of a theorem to be found in a privately circulated memoir of the Slovenian Philological Society, 1883.
- **Proof by importance**
 - A large body of useful consequences all follow from the proposition in question
- **Proof by accumulated evidence**
 - Long and diligent search has not revealed a counterexample.
- **Proof by cosmology**
 - The negation of the proposition is unimaginable or meaningless. Popular for proofs of the existence of God.
- **Proof by mutual reference**
 - In reference A, Theorem 5 is said to follow from Theorem 3 in reference B, which is shown from Corollary 6.2 in reference C, which is an easy consequence of Theorem 5 in reference A.

Tecniche di dimostrazione non valide

- **Proof by picture**
 - A more convincing form of proof by example. Combines well with proof by omission.
- **Proof by vehement assertion**
 - It is useful to have some kind of authority in relation to the audience.
- **Proof by ghost reference**
 - Nothing even remotely resembling the cited theorem appears in the reference given.
- **Proof by forward reference**
 - Reference is usually to a forthcoming paper of the author, which is often not as forthcoming as at first.
- **Proof by exercise**
 - The reader is left to do the proof as an exercise. Most commonly found in textbooks.